UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,374	03/16/2006	Kaoru Hoshide	062278	9854
38834 7590 02/22/2010 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700			EXAMINER	
			KELLY, CATHERINE A	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3634	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/22/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentmail@whda.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/572,374	HOSHIDE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CATHERINE A. KELLY	3634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the specified period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 O</u>	<u>ctober 2009</u> .				
· <del>-</del>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	ex parie Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	03 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See iion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	(PTO-413)			
<ul> <li>Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-932)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/16/06</u>.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 3634

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group II) Figures 5 and 4b in the reply filed on 10/9/2009 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claims 1-6, it is not clear to examiner if applicant is invoking 112, 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph, as 'means for' language was not used. Examiner has not considered 112 6<sup>th</sup> to be invoked.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/572,374

Art Unit: 3634

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Page 3

- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US patent 6084367 to Landert in view of US patent 4697383 to Hagiwara. The automatic door apparatus of claim 1 is shown in the '367 reference in figures 1-3d where a pair of doors 3, 8, 7, 7a, and 4 opening and closing by moving on a same vertical plane (planes 3-8, 7-7a, and 4); a pair of moving means 36 for moving the pair of doors individually; control means portion of higher-order controller 47 as further taught in column 6 lines for controlling the pair of moving means; a pair of two-dimensional image sensors 29 for detecting a moving object at both sides of the pair of doors as further taught in column 2 lines 30-36; wherein the control means 47 includes: moving status calculating means for calculating a position and a moving direction of a moving object by image processing of outputs of the two-dimensional image sensors as taught in column 3 lines 1-5 and 13-14 and column 6 lines 51-55; opening degree calculating means for predicting a passing position of the moving object on the vertical plane on the basis of the position and moving direction of the moving object calculated by the moving status calculating means and calculating a target opening degree of each of the doors on the basis of the predicted passing position when the moving object approaches as taught in column 2 lines 30-36 and 59-64, column 3 lines 1-5 and 13-14, and column 6 lines 51-55, and for determining the target opening degrees of the doors in fully closed state when the moving object moves away as taught in column 3 lines 3-5; first move command means portion of higher-order controller 47 as further taught in column 6 lines 39-50 for

outputting move command signals to the pair of moving means so that the doors may be opened to the calculated target opening degrees.

The '367 reference teaches a secondary command means in column 7 lines 1-16 where the doors 3, 4, 7, 7a, and 8 can be commanded open or closed by means other than first command means 47.

However, the '367 reference does not teach secondary command means fully opening and closing the doors connected to a second set of sensors.

A set of sensors fully opening and closing a pair of door is taught in the '383 reference in figures 1-6 where door frame 1 has an opening 3 covered by a pair of doors 2 and the opening and closing of the doors is done by controller 9 based on the output of sensors 5, 6, 7, and 8 as further taught in column 3 lines 5-11.

One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to provide the automatic door system of the '367 reference with a second full open/close sensor as in the '383 reference because the alternate control of the doors can sometimes be desirable as taught in the '367 reference in column 7 lines 1-16 and a back-up system to prevent non-function of the doors can also be desirable.

Regarding claim 2, the full open/close is taught in the '383 reference in column 3 lines 5-11 and the combination with the automatic system of the '367 reference is described in the above rejection of claim 1.

Regarding claim 3, off claim 1 or claim 2, the plural target opening is shown in figure 3d of the '367 reference and further taught in column 7 lines 35-49.

Page 5

Regarding claim 4, , off claim 1 or claim 2, the speed calculating is taught in column 3 lines 6-10 of the '367 reference.

Claims 5 and 6, off claim 1 or claim 2, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US patent 6084367 to Landert in view of US patent 4697383 to Hagiwara. The '367 reference is silent in regards to the dual detection zones of claims 5 and 6. These are shown in the '383 reference in figure 3 where doors 2 have first zone B and B' farther from the doors 2 and second zone A and A' adjacent to the doors and dual zone control further taught in column 3 lines 15-47. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to provide the automatic door system of the '367 reference, in combination with the full open/close second control of the '383 reference, with the dual zone control of the '383 reference because the dual zone control allows for easy determination of the moving direction and path of an object approaching a door system and thus can allow for easy control without unnecessary opening or closing.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CATHERINE A. KELLY whose telephone number is (571)270-3660. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9am - 5:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Mitchell can be reached on 571-272-7069. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3634

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/C. A. K./ Examiner, Art Unit 3634 /KATHERINE W MITCHELL/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3634

Page 6

cak